Remarks/Arguments

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. The Examiner writes that claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applicants have rewritten claim 5 into independent form according to the Examiner's suggestion, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants request withdrawal of the objection to claim 5.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Alioto, U.S. Patent 5,137,430, in view of Ostrowiecki, U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0156950, further in view of Yang, U.S. Patent 6,017,201. In summary, there is only one independent claim remaining: allowable Claim 5. The remaining claims are amended to depend from independent allowable claim 5.

Claims 1 and 4 are cancelled in the present response (rewritten into amended independent claim 5).

Claim 2 is amended to depend from newly independent claim 5 (see above). Because claim 5 is allowable, applicants request withdrawal of the 103(a) rejection of claim 2.

Claim 3 depends from claim 2, which itself depends from allowable claim 5. Because claim 5 is allowable, applicant request withdrawal of the 103(a) rejection of claim 3.

Claim 6 is amended to depend from newly independent claim 5 (see above). Because claim 5 is allowable, applicants request withdrawal of the 103(a) rejection of claim 6.

Applicant requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this application.

Application No. 10/599,038 Office Action dated April 6, 2010 Response dated July 1, 2010 Docket No. 830-2F-008

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required to Deposit Account 11-1580.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 1, 2010

James K. Dawson Registration No. 41,701 Attorney for Applicant(s)

KOPPEL, PATRICK, HEYBL & DAWSON 2815 Townsgate Road, Suite 215 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Phone (805) 373-0060 Fax (805) 373-0051